

'सयानो मन्त्रः समितिः समानी

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH BENGAL

B.A. Honours 4th Semester Examination, 2024

GE2-P2-PHILOSOPHY

Time Allotted: 2 Hours

Full Marks: 60

The figures in the margin indicate full marks.

SECTION-I

1. Answer any *four* questions from the following:

 $3 \times 4 = 12$

- (a) What do you mean by class?
- (b) What do you mean by inductive generalisation?
- (c) Distinguish between figure and mood.
- (d) What is existential fallacy?
- (e) What is inductive leap?
- (f) What do you mean by quantity and quality in categorical proposition?

SECTION-II

Answer any four questions from the following:

 $6 \times 4 = 24$

- (a) Distinguish between mediate and immediate inference.
- (b) What is existential import of propositions? Do all standard form of Categorical

2+4

propositions have existential import? Explain, in brief, with examples.

(c) Use truth table method to determine the nature of the following statement forms as tautology, self-contradictory and contingent:

3+3

- (i) $(p \lor q) \supset (\sim q \cdot q)$
- (ii) $(p \supset q) \equiv (\sim q \supset \sim p)$
- (d) Explain the problems of induction.
- (e) Distinguish between good and bad analogy.(f) Test the validity / invalidity of the following by Syllogistic rules:

3+3

- (i) AAA-1st Figure
- (ii) EAO-4th Figure

SECTION-III

3. Answer any *two* questions from the following:

 $12 \times 2 = 24$

(a) Explain the traditional concept of square of opposition of propositions.

12

UG/CBCS/B.A./Hons./4th Sem./Philosophy/PHIGE4/2024

(b) Test the validity / invalidity of the following arguments by Venn diagram.

4+4+4

- (i) All philosophers are logicians, so, some scientists are philosophers.
- (ii) AII-1st Figure
- (iii) AIO-3rd Figure
- (c) Test the validity or invalidity of the following by truth-table method.

4+4+4

- (i) $p \supset (p \cdot q) / \therefore p \supset q$
- (ii) $(q \lor \sim p) \supset r / \therefore r \lor \sim p$
- (iii) $(A \lor B) \supset C/ :: C \supset B$
- (d) What is conversion? What are the rules of conversion? Can simple conversion of 2+4+3+3 'A' proposition be possible? Why is the conversion of 'O' proposition not possible?